

# The Eagle.

Published every Wednesday Morning by  
**LOOMIS & OAKES.**

Entered at the postoffice at Silver City, N. M., for transmission through the mails at second class rates.

Office on Yankie Street between Texas and Arizona Streets.  
Advertising Rates on Application.

**Subscription Rates, Postage Prepaid:**  
One year - - - - - \$2.00  
Six months - - - - - 1.00  
Three months - - - - - .50

SILVER CITY, N. M., OCTOBER 31, 1894.

## Democratic Ticket.

For Delegate to Congress,  
**ANTHONY JOSEPH.**

For the Council,  
**GEORGE W. MILES.**  
**GEORGE CURRY.**

For Representatives,  
**JOSEPH BOONE.**  
**R. L. YOUNG.**

For Sheriff,  
**BAYLOR SHANNON.**

For Collector,  
**JOHN F. KIOUS.**

For Probate Clerk,  
**EDGAR M. YOUNG.**

For Assessor,  
**DAVID H. TULLOCK.**

For Probate Judge,  
**ROBERT NEWSHAM.**

For Treasurer,  
**G. W. M. CARVIL.**

For Superintendent of Schools,  
**B. T. LINK.**

For Surveyor,  
**GEORGE R. BROWN.**

For Coroner,  
**ISAAC GIVENS.**

For Commissioners,  
1st District—**F. J. DAVIDSON.**  
2nd District—**S. S. BRANNIN.**  
3rd District—**A. J. CLARK.**

Silver ..... 63 3/4  
Lead ..... 3.15

## DEMOCRATS DO YOUR DUTY.

Next Tuesday the great battle of the ballots will be fought. For the first time in this county there will be three tickets in the field. There is but one way to look at the situation. Those who are not for the democratic ticket are against it. There is no middle ground. A vote for a populist candidate is so much aid for the enemy. No populist can be elected and the republican leaders hope to secure enough votes for the

populist candidates from the democrats to elect their own candidates. This is the situation in a nutshell. No amount of explaining will make it appear different.

Democrats who want to see the republican candidates elected will either vote for the republicans or vote for the populists. In pursuing the first method they will aid the enemy in a straightforward and direct manner, while by pursuing the second plan they will aid the republicans indirectly.

Grant county is democratic and if the democrats of the county support their ticket there need not be the slightest doubt about the result of the election. No republican has been elected in this county within the past ten years without the aid of democratic votes, and not a republican will be elected this time without the support of some of the democrats of the county.

If the men who compose the democratic ticket this year in this county, or any of them, were unworthy of the support of the self-respecting voters of the county there would be a good excuse for the democrats to support the republican candidates or some of them, but it is a fact that cannot be denied that there has not been a better ticket nominated by either party in this county within the past ten years than the democratic nominations which are now before the people.

There is no excuse for democrats to scratch a single one of the candidates on the democratic ticket or for a democrat to vote the populist ticket. There is not a man on the ticket who has not been a resident of this county for years and who has not the confidence of the voters of the county. The party has the hardest fight on its hands that it has ever had in Grant county. Never before has there been two party organizations in opposition to the democracy of the county as there is now and now is the time for democrats to be found in line and doing their duty.

With an unbroken line of battle next Tuesday there can be but one result and that will be a rousing victory for the democracy.

## ALL DOUBT REMOVED.

Since the populists first showed their hand in the politics of this county THE EAGLE has maintained that the movement was made by disappointed politicians to down the democratic party. The last issue of the Deming Headlight presents the matter forcibly and in its true light. It says:

If any doubt has heretofore existed as to the real nature and object of the campaign conducted by the populists in this county, the tirade of John J. Bell, delivered at the opera house last Tuesday evening, removed it. That the design

was to secure the defeat of certain, if not all, of the democratic candidates and the election of the republican ticket, by placing in nomination on the populist ticket men of previous democratic affiliations, with a popularity sufficient to divide the democratic vote and thus wreak some personal spite and avenge past disappointments and slights, has been suspected by many, openly asserted by some, but now known to all. It was evident to the most casual observer that Mr. Bell's vituperation was directed entirely against the democratic party and its candidates. So decidedly was this the case that Mr. Bell in referring to his opponents became personal and almost insulting when speaking of the democratic candidates. Very little was said about the republican party and its candidates and that little was very mild and cautious and apparently done simply to maintain the pretense of opposing them. Mr. Bell—to use his own expression—"sized up" his audience very accurately. There were very few but republicans present and he knew it, and spread himself to please them by villifying the democracy. Beyond abuse of democrats and democracy, wild charges against everybody and everything and frenzied appeals for a change, merely for the sake of a change, there was nothing in the address worthy of attention, not a single suggestion of any measure designed to produce relief; not the faintest outline of any policy to be pursued; no attempt to inform as to what will be done by the party in power—only one unvaried and impassioned cry for a change. Put the populists in power and presto! the face of nature is changed, everybody will be rich without labor, happy without fear of care or trouble. The rain and sunshine will be distributed in due season in proper quantities and there will be no more any want or unhappiness or discontent in the land.

## INDICTED FOR EMBEZZLEMENT.

In the last issue of the Independent Democrat is printed a long article giving some ugly facts concerning Mr. A. L. Christy's public record and in connection with the article the Independent Democrat says editorially:

We wonder if Mr. Christy expected to run for office and get through the campaign without hearing his public record discussed. He knew when he accepted the nomination what an examination of the books would disclose, and he must have known that even the personal and professional friendliness of his political opponents would not induce them to permit the people of the county to remain in ignorance of his official delinquencies. It is unfortunate for Mr. Christy that he did not have the discretion to restrain his ambition until such time as he should have made good his shortage and squared accounts with the public treasury. He ought to have had sense enough to get clear of indictment at least.

It is an unpleasant task to expose the weakness and shortcomings of a fellow citizen, but when he has the astounding audacity to ask the people to again entrust their interests to his hands, after once betraying his trust and while he still retains funds that belong to them and is under indictment for embezzlement, it is the duty of the press to show what sort of a public servant he has been.